



Project Name:	Prelim Design for New Core Area Collector Road (Phase 1)
Addendum Number:	2
Project ID:	2026-RFP-016

Addendum 2 is hereby issued to amend the *2026-RFP-016 Prelim Design for New Core Area Collector Road (phase 1)* changes outlined in this addendum shall take precedence over the original document.

Question 2.1 - Site Inspector Role Requirement

Section 3.1.5 of the RFP requires the inclusion of a Site Inspector to “monitor all work being carried out onsite... and direct and enforce contractor activities.” However, Addendum 1 (Answer 1.1) confirms this assignment is for conceptual design only, and (Answer 1.3) defers the geotechnical field investigation to a later date post-award. Given that there will be no active construction or contractor field work to monitor during this conceptual phase, please confirm if the Site Inspector position is still a mandatory requirement for this submission. If it remains mandatory, please confirm the anticipated role, level of effort, and scope of responsibilities for this position during Phase 1. If the Site Inspector position is not mandatory for Phase 1, please confirm that no Site Inspector CV, level of effort, or fee allocation is required in the proposal submission.

Answer 2.1

Bidders are to remove this requirement from the RFP requirements. No inspection is required for this conceptual road design deliverables.

Question 2.2 - Scope of Road Connections

Section 1.3.2 of the RFP states the goal is to connect Kangiq and Iniq Drive to Sivumugiaq Street. Addendum 1 (Answer 1.2) clarifies that the intended governing road connections are Niaqunngusiariaq Road and Sivumugiaq Street. Please confirm if the connection to Kangiq and Iniq Drive has been removed from the Phase 1 scope entirely, or if it should be included in the conceptual design alongside the Niaqunngusiariaq Road connection. Further, please confirm the intended Phase 1 limits and whether the conceptual design is to include all of links 2, 6, 7, and 8 identified in the exp Transportation Network Study, as stated in Sections 1.3.2 and 6, or only those link segments necessary to establish the governing connection between Niaqunngusiariaq Road and Sivumugiaq Street. Please specifically confirm whether Links 2, 6, 7, and 8 are all mandatory components of each of the three conceptual alternatives and the preferred Concept Sketch.

Answer 2.2

Kangiq and Iniq Drive is integral to and should be included in the conceptual design of the road connection between Niaqunngusiariaq Road and Sivumugiaq Street. All three conceptual design alternatives and the preferred concept design are to include links 2, 7 and 8 since these components form part of the 'Critical Transportation Network', as identified in the exp Transportation Network Study. For link 6, which is part of the 'Future Transportation Network', only the design and location of Link 6's tie-in with the 'Critical Transportation Network' is to be included in the alternative designs and preferred conceptual design. The full design, alignment, and extent of Link 6 will be undertaken in the future by the development proponent for this area. Only the tie-in of Link 6 is to be included in the scope of work.



Question 2.3 - Geotechnical Cash Allowance and Proposal Pricing

Addendum 1, Answer 1.3, states that the geotechnical scope of work will be determined after award in consultation with the successful proponent and is to remain within the \$60,000 cash allowance. Please confirm that proponents are to include the fixed \$60,000 cash allowance in the Cost Submission Form, but are not required to include a geotechnical field program, field-investigation subconsultant quotation, detailed geotechnical work plan, or travel and disbursements associated with the field investigation in their financial submissions. Please also confirm whether the successful proponent's coordination, procurement, management, and review of the geotechnical investigation are to be included within the consultant's base fee or paid from the cash allowance.

Answer 2.3

Proponents are to include/leave the CASH ALLOWANCE amount of \$60,000 in the Cost Submission Form as shown in line item 6.2. This Cash Allowance is to simplify the internal project approval process only.

Proponents are not required to provide any geotechnical investigation scope of work/field program and/or subconsultant quotation, detailed work plan, including travel disbursements and project management costs as the scope of work and fees will be determined later once an award has been made and level of effort further defined in consultation between the Consultant and City.

See "APPENDIX A - REVISED BID FORM" at the end of this Addendum.

Question 2.4 - Conceptual Design Deliverable Expectations

For the preferred concept sketch, please confirm the intended level of design development and deliverable content. In particular, please confirm whether the Phase 1 assignment requires only conceptual identification of road geometry, typical sections, drainage, utilities, fuel-pipeline crossing requirements, property impacts, and intersection/signalization needs, or whether preliminary engineering analyses, utility coordination/relocation concepts, and preliminary crossing solutions are also required.

Answer 2.4

The City is revising the cost estimation requirement for this RFP from a Class D to a AACE Class 2 level of accuracy. The level of design will need to meet the Class 2 level of accuracy requirement. For further clarification, see Answer 2.9.

In addition, the City is providing a CASH ALLOWANCE for daylighting of all buried infrastructure, if required, and subsequent GPS survey data acquisition so that accurate alignments can be determined. See "APPENDIX A - REVISED BID FORM" at the end of this Addendum.

Question 2.5 - Translation of Deliverables

Section 5.7 states that project deliverables or documents created by the proponent must be provided in English, Inuktitut, and French at the City's request, at no additional cost. Please confirm which Phase 1 deliverables, if any, are expected to require translation, and whether the City anticipates requiring Inuktitut and French translation of draft deliverables, final deliverables, meeting materials, or all project documentation.

Answer 2.5



Section 5.6 of the RFP outlines that materials may need to be available in the Territory's 3 official languages. For this project, only materials intended for public release would be requested to be translated by the City. The scope of work for this project does not include the preparation of materials for public distribution and any project updates submitted to City Council would be translated and paid for by the City.

Question 2.6 - Background Information and Record Availability

Section 1.3.3 identifies background information to be provided to the Consultant. Please confirm whether the City will provide all available current records relevant to the study area, including available PPD pipeline drawings and operating/protection requirements; QEC and other utility record information; traffic counts and turning-movement data; land, lease, and property information; servicing and drainage records; and current TBG Phase 1 civil and access information. Please also identify any documents that proponents are expected to independently obtain before submitting their proposals.

Answer 2.6

The City will provide to the successful consultant all documentation in the City's possession that is relevant to the study area, including all traffic count data, land lease information, property information, drainage and servicing records, and the TBG Phase 1 civil drawings package and relevant studies. The City will reach out to QEC and Petroleum Products Division (PPD) to request current drawings and operating/protection requirements for their infrastructure in the study area in advance of contract initiation, however, the consultant will be responsible for confirming with these entities during stakeholder meetings that all the appropriate and current information, as well as planned infrastructure affecting the study area, has been received.

The City has identified an additional utilidor project in the Study Area (Kangiq and Iniq) and has uploaded the RFT documents (RFT 2025-093 - Trigram Reheat Station Relocation) to the Background Documents link provided in the RFP - plan.iqaluit.ca/en/pages/bypass-road

In addition, the City is providing a CASH ALLOWANCE for daylighting of all buried infrastructure, if required, and subsequent GPS survey data acquisition so that accurate alignments can be determined. See “[APPENDIX A - REVISED BID FORM](#)” at the end of this Addendum.

Question 2.7 - Stakeholder Meeting Responsibilities and Format

Please confirm the City's expectations for arranging, facilitating, attending, and documenting the required QIA/Akiuq meetings and other stakeholder meetings. In particular, please confirm whether the City will issue invitations and secure stakeholder participation; whether meetings are expected to be in person, virtual, or hybrid; whether any translation or interpretation services will be required; and whether the City considers meeting materials, presentation support, minutes, and action tracking to be included in the Consultant's base fee and level of effort.

Answer 2.7

The consultant is responsible for arranging (invitations), facilitating, attending, and documenting the required QIA/Akiuq meetings and other stakeholder meetings, unless the City indicates specifically that the City will communicate with a particular stakeholder. The meetings are anticipated to be mostly virtual, however in-person meetings should be organized, where feasible, to coincide with in-community activities. Where stakeholders are non-responsive to the consultant, the consultant should communicate with the City for assistance with that stakeholder. The City considers meeting



materials, presentation support, minutes, and action tracking such as Issues Control, RFI logs, etc., to be included in the Consultant's base fee and level of effort.

Question 2.8 - PPD Pipeline Information and Consultation Requirements

Please confirm whether the City will provide available PPD drawings, survey/location information, access requirements, protection criteria, operational constraints, and any prior correspondence or direction regarding the above-ground fuel pipeline. Please also confirm whether Phase 1 requires only conceptual identification and comparison of potential pipeline-interface alternatives, or whether proponents are expected to develop a preliminary crossing/relocation concept and obtain PPD concurrence before finalizing the preferred concept.

Answer 2.8

As stated in Answer 2.6, the City will communicate with PPD in advance of contract award to obtain documentation and operating/protection requirements. Phase 1 requires only conceptual identification and comparison of potential pipeline-interface alternatives. The proponent is not expected to develop a detailed preliminary crossing/relocation concept and not expected to obtain PPD concurrence before finalizing the preferred concept.

Question 2.9 - Class D Estimate Basis and Property Impacts

Please confirm the required presentation and assumptions for the Class D estimates for each of the three conceptual options and the preferred Concept Sketch. In particular, please confirm whether the City expects separate identification of major cost drivers and allowances—including pipeline-interface works, utility impacts/relocations, land or property actions, rock excavation, drainage, and Arctic logistics—or whether a consolidated estimate with documented assumptions and contingencies is acceptable. Please also confirm the intended basis for identifying and estimating property acquisition costs where applicable, including whether the City will provide land/property information or valuation guidance.

Answer 2.9

The City is revising the cost estimation requirement for this RFP Scope of Work from a Class D to a AACE Class 2 level of accuracy. To properly assess the alternatives, the City expects sufficient identification of major cost drivers to facilitate an informed decision on the cost component of the evaluation. These cost drivers include but are not limited to: pipeline-interface works, utility impacts/relocations, land or property actions, rock excavation, earth and granular quantities, drainage improvements/relocations, and Arctic logistics. Regarding identifying and estimating property acquisition costs, the City will provide land/property information and valuation guidance. A professional appraisal of property is not required.

Question 2.10 - Proposal Closing Date

Given the number and materiality of scope, deliverable, stakeholder, translation, pipeline, and financial-submission clarifications requested above, we respectfully request that the City consider an extension to the proposal closing date sufficient to allow all proponents to review any resulting addendum and incorporate the City's direction into their submissions.

Answer 2.10

The City does not wish to provide an extension to the proposal closing date.

APPENDIX A – COST SUBMISSION FORM

Proponent's Name: _____

Proponent's Address: _____

Provide the following cost breakdown for the services detailed herein that the Proponent is proposing to offer the City of Iqaluit.

Table A1 Fee Table

Item	Description	Total
6.0 General		
1.	Project management, administration, coordination, meetings	\$
6.1 Background Information Review and Stakeholder Engagement		
1.	Background Information and Stakeholder Engagement Report	\$
2.	Disbursements	\$
3.	CASH ALLOWANCE - DAYLIGHTING	\$ 20,000.00
6.2 Geotechnical Investigation		
1.	CASH ALLOWANCE – GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION & REPORT	\$ 60,000.00
6.3 Findings and Recommendations Report		
1.	Findings and Recommendations Report (Draft/Final)	\$
2.	Disbursements	\$
6.4 Design and Alignment Options + Concept Sketch		
1.	Engineering Schematic Design (Draft/Final)	\$
2.	AACE Class 2 Cost Estimates for Alignment Options & Preferred Option (Draft/Final)	\$
3.	Disbursements	\$
Subtotal		\$



REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Prelim Design for New Core Area Collector Road (Phase 1)

2026-RFP- 016



Item	Description	Total
	GST	\$
	Total	\$

Fees for changes to the work shall be as agreed upon prior to the commencement of services for the change as set out under the contract. For additional work, the proponent shall use the rates detailed below.

Table A2 Hourly Rates Table

POSITION	TEAM MEMBER	Hourly Rates (\$/hr.)
Project Manager		

Consultant to add positions as necessary. Each discipline shall provide names for each position as necessary.

END OF APPENDIX A